

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

A	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/720,469	12/22/2000	Kyogo Itoh	0020-4792P	2449
	2292	7590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
	BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			YU, MISOOK	
	FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1642	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
A de do a me A adia m	09/720,469	ITOH ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension			
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final	Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 October 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): <u>none</u> .					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues with the non-entered amendment.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,4,6,8,14 and 25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-11, 15-24	<u>4</u> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10) Other: 1449 A'led 12/22/						
LARRY R. (PRIMAR)	HELMS, PH.D Y EXAMINER					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment raise 112, second paragraph issue because it is not clear which limitation "8-14 amino acid in length" or "comprising" controls the scope of the invention. Also the amendment entry is denied because the new limitation requires further search.